# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.             | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.      | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------------------|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/056,942                  | 01/25/2002  | H. Brock Kolls       | BK-020-05                | 5036            |
| 7590 09/07/2005             |             |                      | EXAMINER                 |                 |
| Benjamin E Leace            |             |                      | MANCHO, RONNIE M         |                 |
| RatnerPrestia               |             |                      |                          | ,               |
| P O Box 980                 |             |                      | ART UNIT                 | PAPER NUMBER    |
| Valley Forge, PA 19482-0980 |             |                      | 3663                     |                 |
|                             |             |                      | DATE MAIL ED. 00/07/2006 |                 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | 10/056,942  | KOLLS, H. BROCK  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ronnie Mancho   | 3663   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).          | B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 May 2005.</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)  Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) 1-37 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.  | vn from consideration.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ access Applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.  | epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj  | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/19/05.   | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa   | •  |  |  |  |  |

the

ď

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Remark

1. Upon review of applicant's response dated 5/19/05, it is noted that a restriction/election is warranted. Any inconvenience to applicant is regretted.

## Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-18, 19, 32, 33 drawn to a system for collecting vehicle data, classified in class 701/35.
  - II. Claims 30, 31, 36, 37 drawn to a system for wirelessly collecting vehicle data, classified in class 370/913.
  - III. Claims 23-29, 35 drawn to a method of servicing a vehicle using e-commerce, classified in class 705/22, 23; 701/31.
  - IV. Claims 20-22, 34 drawn to a method servicing a vehicle by utilizing vehicle data, classified in class 340/439-442

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

3. Inventions III/IV and I/II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. That is the system of groups I/II can be used for collecting data other that vehicle data.

4. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the details of the subcombination are not set forth in the combination. The subcombination has separate utility such as "wirelessly collecting vehicle data".

- 5. Inventions III and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the details of the subcombination are not set forth in the combination. The subcombination has separate utility such as "a method of performing remote vehicle diagnostics".
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- 8. Upon election of inventions I, II, III, or IV, the applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect one of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims

shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held allowable (currently no claims are generic).

For each of the vehicle service recommendations, elect for example:

- A. vehicle service recommendation only,
- B. vehicle replacement part recommendations only.
- C. vehicle service and vehicle replacement part recommendations
- 9. Upon election of any of inventions A, B, or C, the applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect one of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held allowable (currently no claims are generic).
  - a. the embodiment of fig. 1B
  - b. the embodiment of fig. 1C.
  - c. the embodiment of fig. 1D.
  - d. the embodiment of fig. 1E
  - e. the embodiment of fig. 1F
  - f. the embodiment of fig. 1G
  - g. the embodiment of fig. 1H
  - h. the embodiment of fig. 1J
- 10. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/056,942

Art Unit: 3663

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

## Communication

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronnie Mancho whose telephone number is 571/272/6984. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs: 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on 571/272/6878. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ronnie Mancho Examiner Art Unit 3663

8/18/05

PRIMARY EXAMINER